arXiv:1512.09096v1 [math.RT] 30 Dec 2015

JORDAN-CHEVALLEY DECOMPOSITION IN LIE ALGEBRAS

LEANDRO CAGLIERO AND FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

Abstract. In this paper we present a procedure that returns the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of a matrix A (over a field of characteristic 0) using as input two matrices S and N such that $A = S + N$, S is semisimple, N is nilpotent and $\{S, N\}$ generates a solvable Lie algebra.

This procedure shows that if $\mathfrak{s}$ is a solvable Lie algebra of matrices and $A \in \mathfrak{s}$ then the semisimple and nilpotent summands of the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of A belong to $\mathfrak{s}$ if and only if there exist $S, N \in \mathfrak{s}$ such that S is semisimple, N is nilpotent and $A = S + N$.

1. Introduction

All Lie algebras and representations considered in this paper are finite dimensional over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0. An important question concerning a given representation (π, V) of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is (*) whether $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ contains the semisimple and nilpotent parts of the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition (JCD) in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of $\pi(x)$ for a given $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ (cf. Ch. VII, §5 in [B2]). This question led us to study in [CS] the existence and uniqueness of abstract JCD's in arbitrary Lie algebras.

Recall that an element x of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to have an *abstract JCD* if there exist unique $s, n \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $x = s+n$, $[s, n] = 0$ and given any finite dimensional representation $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ the JCD of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is $\pi(x) = \pi(s) + \pi(n)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ itself is said to have an abstract JCD if everyone of its elements does. The main results of [CS] are Theorems 1 and 2 and they respectively state that *a Lie algebra has an abstract JCD if and only if it is perfect*, and *an element of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has an abstract JCD if and only if it belongs to $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$*. These theorems, though related to question (*), do not provide a satisfactory answer to it.

The purpose of this note is two-fold: on the one hand we prove Theorem 1.1 below which addresses directly question (*) and allows us to derive from it [CS, Theorems 1 and 2]. On the other hand, we recently realized that there is a gap in the original proof of [CS, Theorems 1 and 2], since [CS, Lemma 2.1]) is not true. Therefore, we leave [CS, Theorems 1 and 2] in good standing by giving a correct proof derived from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a solvable Lie algebra of matrices, let $A \in \mathfrak{s}$ and assume that $A = S + N$ with $S, N \in \mathfrak{s}$, S semisimple, N nilpotent (we are not assuming $[S, N] = 0$). Then the semisimple and nilpotent summands of the JCD of A belong to $\mathfrak{s}$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B05, 17B10; Secondary 15A21.

Key words and phrases. Perfect and semisimple Lie algebras, Jordan-Chevalley decomposition, representations.

The first author was supported in part by CONICET and SECYT-UNC grants.

The second author was supported in part by an NSERC discovery grant.

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be algebraically closed. Given a square matrix $A = S+N$ with S semisimple and N nilpotent, let $\{S_n\}$ and $\{N_n\}$ be sequences defined inductively by*

$$S_0 = S \quad \text{and} \quad N_0 = N,$$

and, if $[S_n, N_n] \neq 0$ let N'_{λ_n} be a non-zero eigenmatrix of $ad(S_n)$ corresponding to a non-zero eigenvalue λ_n appearing in the decomposition of N_n, and let

$$S_{n+1} = S_n + N'_{\lambda_n} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{n+1} = N_n - N'_{\lambda_n}.$$

(The sequences depend on the choice of the non-zero eigenvalues.) If $\{S, N\}$ generates a solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$, then (independently of the choice of the eigenvalues)

(i) S_n is semisimple, N_n is nilpotent and $S_n, N_n \in \mathfrak{s}$ for all n, and
(ii) there is n_0 such that $[S_{n_0}, N_{n_0}] = 0$.

In particular, $A = S_{n_0} + N_{n_0}$ is the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of A with both components $S_{n_0}, N_{n_0} \in \mathfrak{s}$.

2. Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of upper triangular matrices

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Let $\mathfrak{t}$ denote the Lie algebra of upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}$, let $\mathfrak{t}' = [\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{t}]$, and let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{t}$.

For $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, let $\mathfrak{t}_k$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{t}$ consisting of those matrices whose non-zero entries lay only on the diagonal (i, j) such that $j - i = k$. Given $N \in \mathfrak{t}$, let $d_k(N) \in \mathfrak{t}_k$ be defined so that $N = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k(N)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $S, X, N \in \mathfrak{s}$ and assume that $ad_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)(N) = \lambda N$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, and $ad_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)(X) = \mu X$, with $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{F}$ (in particular, $X \in \mathfrak{t}'$). Then*

$$\exp\left(\mu^{-1} ad_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)\right)(N) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mu^{-j}}{j!}\, ad_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(N)$$

is an eigenmatrix of $ad_{\mathfrak{s}}(S - X)$ of eigenvalue λ and it belongs to $\mathfrak{s}$. In particular, S is diagonalizable if and only if $S - X$ is diagonalizable.

Proof. On the one hand, since $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(N)$ is an eigenmatrix of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)$ of eigenvalue $\lambda + j\mu$, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mu^{-j}}{j!}\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(N)\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mu^{-j}(\lambda + j\mu)}{j!}\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(N).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^n = 0$ as $X \in \mathfrak{t}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mu^{-j}}{j!}\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(N)\right) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mu^{-j}}{j!}\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^{j+1}(N) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mu^{1-j}}{(j-1)!}\, \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(N).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that $\exp\left(\mu^{-1}\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)\right)(N)$ is an eigenmatrix of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S-X)$ of eigenvalue λ and it clearly belongs to $\mathfrak{s}$ since $\exp\left(\mu^{-1}\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)\right) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{s})$. In particular, if $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)$ is diagonalizable in $\mathfrak{t}$ then $\exp\left(\mu^{-1}\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(X)\right)$ transforms a basis of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)$ to a basis of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S - X)$.

To complete the proof of the lemma it is sufficient to show that a matrix $A \in \mathfrak{t}$ is diagonalizable if and only if $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(A)$ is diagonalizable. The 'only if' part is clear. Conversely, if $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(A)$ is diagonalizable and $A = A_s + A_n$ is the JCD of A then $A_s, A_n \in \mathfrak{t}$ (both are polynomials in A) and it follows that $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(A) = \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(A_s) + \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(A_n)$ is the JCD of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(A)$. By uniqueness, $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(A_n) = 0$ and this implies $A_n = 0$ since $A_n \in \mathfrak{t}'$ and the centralizer of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{t}'$ is 0. □

2.2. **Decompositions as sum of eigenmatrices.** As in the previous lemma, let $S, X, N \in \mathfrak{s}$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)(X) = \mu X$, with $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{F}$ and assume that a decomposition of N as a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)$ is given. In this subsection we will show how to obtain, from the given decomposition, the decomposition of N as a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S - X)$.

Notation 2.2. In what follows we will consider some finite sequences of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(R)$ of a given $R \in \mathfrak{s}$. These finite sequences will look like

$$\mathcal{M} = [(M_j, \mu_j) : j \geq 1] = [(M_1, \mu_1), (M_2, \mu_2), \dots]$$

where μ_j is the eigenvalue corresponding to M_j. Let $\mathtt{Mat}(\mathcal{M}) = \{M_1, M_2, \dots\}$ and $\mathtt{Val}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ be, respectively, the set of matrices and eigenvalues of $\mathcal{M}$. It might happen that $\mu_i = \mu_j$ for $i \neq j$. Thus we introduce the `Collect` operator: for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, let $L_\lambda = \sum_{i:\mu_i=\lambda} M_i$, let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ be the set of different eigenvalues λ such $L_\lambda \neq 0$ and let

$$\mathtt{Collect}(\mathcal{M}) = [(L_{\lambda_1}, \lambda_1), (L_{\lambda_2}, \lambda_2), \dots].$$

Note that $\mathtt{Collect}(\mathcal{M})$ might be the empty sequence and $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$. Finally, if $\mathcal{N} = [(N_1, \nu_1), (N_2, \nu_2), \dots]$ is another finite sequence of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(R)$, then $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N}$ denotes the juxtaposition $[(M_1,\mu_1), (M_2,\mu_2), \dots, (N_1,\nu_1), (N_2,\nu_2), \dots]$.

As in the previous lemma, let $S, X \in \mathfrak{s}$ be such that $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)(X) = \mu X$, where $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{F}$ (recall that this implies that $X \in \mathfrak{t}'$). Let $N \in \mathfrak{s}$ and assume that N is a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)$, that is

$$N = \sum_{i \geq 1} N_i, \qquad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}, \quad N_i \in \mathfrak{s}, \quad \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)(N_i) = \lambda_i N_i.$$

We will now present a procedure that shows that N is also a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S - X)$ in $\mathfrak{s}$ and returns the corresponding decomposition.

Procedure `NewEigM`

input: $\mathcal{N} = [(N_1, \lambda_1), (N_2, \lambda_2), \dots]$, X and $\mu \neq 0$ (here $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$).

output: $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = [(\tilde{N}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_1), (\tilde{N}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_2), \dots]$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_i \neq \tilde{\lambda}_j$ if $i \neq j$ and

$$N = \sum_{i \geq 1} \tilde{N}_i, \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_i \in \mathbb{F}, \quad \tilde{N}_i \in \mathfrak{s}, \quad \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S-X)(\tilde{N}_i) = \tilde{\lambda}_i \tilde{N}_i.$$

`(1) Set` $\mathrm{EigM}_S := \mathcal{N}$, $\mathrm{EigM}_{S-X} := [\,]$ (the empty sequence).

`(2) Set`

$$N'_{i,j} := -\frac{\mu^{-j}}{j!}\,\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(N_i), \quad i,j \geq 1,$$

$$\mathcal{N}'_i := [(N'_{i,j}, \lambda_i + j\mu) : j = 1, \dots, n-1], \quad i \geq 1,$$

$$\mathrm{EigM}'_S := \mathcal{N}'_1 \vee \mathcal{N}'_2 \vee \dots,$$

$$\mathrm{EigM}_{S-X} := \mathrm{EigM}_{S-X} \vee \big[\big(\exp\big(\mu^{-1}\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)\big)\,(N_i), \lambda_i\big) : i = 1, 2, \dots\big].$$

`(3) Set`

$$\mathrm{EigM}_{S-X} := \texttt{Collect}(\mathrm{EigM}_{S-X}),$$
$$\mathrm{EigM}'_S := \texttt{Collect}(\mathrm{EigM}'_S).$$

`(4) If` $\mathrm{EigM}'_S = [\,]$ `then: return` $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \mathrm{EigM}_{S-X}$, `end.`
`Else set` $\mathrm{EigM}_S := \mathrm{EigM}'_S$, `go to (2).`

(We assume here that (N_i, λ_i) is redefined so that $\mathrm{EigM}_S = [(N_1, \lambda_1), (N_2, \lambda_2), \dots]$.)

The following remarks summarize the properties of this procedure.

Remark 2.3. The input $X = 0$ or some $N_i = 0$ is allowed. If $X = 0$ then the output is $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \texttt{Collect}(\mathcal{N})$.

Remark 2.4. The main features of EigM_S and EigM_{S-X} are:

(i) All pairs in EigM_{S-X} correspond to eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S-X)$ (see Lemma 2.1) and

$$\texttt{Mat}(\mathrm{EigM}_{S-X}) \subset \mathrm{span}\Big(\bigcup_{j \geq 0} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j\big(\texttt{Mat}(\mathrm{EigM}_S)\big)\Big) \subset \mathfrak{s}.$$

(ii) All pairs in EigM'_S correspond to eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S)$ and

$$\texttt{Mat}(\mathrm{EigM}'_S) \subset \mathrm{span}\Big(\bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j\big(\texttt{Mat}(\mathrm{EigM}_S)\big)\Big) \subset \mathfrak{s}.$$

(iii) The sum of all matrices in $\texttt{Mat}(\mathrm{EigM}_{S-X} \vee \mathrm{EigM}'_S)$ is equal to N.

Remark 2.5. Since $X \in \mathfrak{t}'$, it follows from Remark 2.4(ii) that this procedure eventually ends. It follows from Remark 2.4(i) and (iii) that, when this procedure ends, $\texttt{Mat}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{s}$ and contains the decomposition of N as a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S-X)$.

Remark 2.6. Let k_0 be the lowest k such that $d_k(X) \neq 0$. Since $k_0 \geq 1$ ($X \in \mathfrak{t}'$) it follows that

$$d_k\big(\exp\big(\mu^{-1}\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)\big)(A)\big) = d_k(A), \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{t} \text{ and } k \leq k_0.$$

This implies that, immediately after Step (2) in the first loop, the following two sets

$$\{A \in \mathtt{Mat}(\mathrm{EigM}_{S-X})\colon\ d_k(A) \neq 0\} \qquad \{A \in \mathtt{Mat}(\mathcal{N})\colon\ d_k(A) \neq 0\} \tag{2.1}$$

have the same number of elements for all $k \leq k_0$. Moreover, it follows from Remark 2.4(ii) that, during the whole procedure, $\{A \in \mathtt{Mat}(\mathrm{EigM}'_S)\colon\ d_k(A) \neq 0\} = \emptyset$ for all $k \leq k_0$ and hence the number of elements in the first set of (2.1) remains unchanged after the first loop for all $k \leq k_0$.

Remark 2.7. If $\pi : \mathfrak{s} \to \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is a representation such that $\pi(X)$ is upper triangular for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}$, then π almost commutes with `NewEigM`. More precisely, the only difference between these two sets

$$\mathtt{Mat}\Big(\mathtt{NewEigM}\Big([(\pi(N_1), \lambda_1), (\pi(N_2), \lambda_2), \dots], \pi(X), \mu\Big)\Big)$$
$$\pi\Big(\mathtt{Mat}\big(\mathtt{NewEigM}\big([(N_1, \lambda_1), (N_2, \lambda_2), \dots], X, \mu\big)\big)\Big)$$

is that the zero matrix might appear in the second set but not in the first one. This follows from identities

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\pi(\mathfrak{s})}\big(\pi(X)\big)^j\big(\pi(Y)\big) = \pi\big(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)^j(Y)\big), \quad j \geq 0,$$
$$\exp\big(\mathrm{ad}_{\pi(\mathfrak{s})}(\pi(X))\big)\big(\pi(Y)\big) = \pi\big(\exp(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)(Y))\big),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}$.

2.3. **The JCD of $S+N$, $S \in \mathfrak{s}$ semisimple, $N \in \mathfrak{s}$ nilpotent.** We now introduce a function that will used to measure how close two matrices are to commute with each other.

Definition 2.8. Let $S, N \in \mathfrak{t}$, with S diagonalizable, and let $N = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$ be the decomposition of N as a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)$. For $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, let

$$c_{S,k}(N) = \#\{\lambda \in \Lambda : \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } d_k(N_\lambda) \neq 0\}$$

(since $\lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow N_\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}'$, we have $c_{S,0}(N) = 0$) and let

$$\gamma_S(N) = \big(c_{S,1}(N), \dots, c_{S,n-1}(N)\big) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_{\geq 0}.$$

It is clear that $[S, N] = 0$ if and only if $\gamma_S(N) = (0, \dots, 0)$. We also notice that, since the number of different eigenvalues of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)$ in $\mathfrak{t}'$ is $n(n-1)/2$, it follows that $c_{S,k}(N) \leq n(n-1)/2$ for all k.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $S, N \in \mathfrak{t}$, with S diagonalizable, and let $N = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$ be the decomposition of N as a sum of eigenmatrices of $ad_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)$. Assume that there is $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. Then*

$$\gamma_{S+N_{\lambda_0}}(N - N_{\lambda_0}) < \gamma_S(N)$$

in the lexicographical order. (The pair $(S + N_{\lambda_0}, N - N_{\lambda_0})$ is closer to commute than the pair (S, N).)

Proof. Let k_0 be the lowest k such that $d_k(N_{\lambda_0}) \neq 0$ ($k_0 \geq 1$ since $N_{\lambda_0} \in \mathfrak{t}'$). It is clear that

$$c_{S,k}(N - N_{\lambda_0}) = \begin{cases} c_{S,k}(N), & \text{if } k < k_0; \\ c_{S,k_0}(N) - 1, & \text{if } k = k_0; \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

and thus $\gamma_S(N - N_{\lambda_0}) < \gamma_S(N)$.

From Lemma 2.1 we know that $S + N_{\lambda_0}$ is diagonalizable and thus it makes sense to compute $\gamma_{S+N_{\lambda_0}}(N - N_{\lambda_0})$. If $\Lambda = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ and we apply the procedure `NewEigM` to

$$\mathcal{N} = [(N_{\lambda_1}, \lambda_1), (N_{\lambda_2}, \lambda_2), \dots], \quad X = -N_{\lambda_0}, \quad \mu = \lambda_0,$$

the output contains the decomposition of $N - N_{\lambda_0}$ as a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S + N_{\lambda_0})$. It follows from Remark 2.6 that

$$c_{S+N_{\lambda_0},k}(N - N_{\lambda_0}) = c_{S,k}(N - N_{\lambda_0}), \quad k \leq k_0$$

and this, combined with (2.2), implies $\gamma_{S+N_{\lambda_0}}(N - N_{\lambda_0}) < \gamma_S(N)$. □

Let $A \in \mathfrak{s}$ and assume that $A = S + N$ with $S, N \in \mathfrak{s}$, S diagonalizable, N nilpotent ($N \in \mathfrak{t}'$). We are not assuming $[S, N] = 0$. Let

$$N = \sum_{i \geq 1} N_i, \qquad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}, \quad N_i \in \mathfrak{t}, \quad \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)(N_i) = \lambda_i N_i, \quad (\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ if } i \neq j). \tag{2.3}$$

be the decomposition of N as a sum of eigenmatrices of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)$.

Remark 2.10. We claim that $N_i \in \mathfrak{s}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Indeed, the identities $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)^k(N) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i^k N_i$, $k \geq 0$, $i \geq 1$, produce a Vandermonde linear system, which is invertible; and since $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}(S)^k(N) \in \mathfrak{s}$ for all $k \geq 0$, we obtain $N_i \in \mathfrak{s}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus, if we define

$$\texttt{Decomp}(S, N) = [(N_1, \lambda_1), (N_2, \lambda_2), \dots],$$

then $\texttt{Mat}(\texttt{Decomp}(S, N)) \subset \mathfrak{s}$ for all $S, N \in \mathfrak{s}$, S diagonalizable, N nilpotent.

We now present an algorithm that produces, from S and N, the JCD $A = S' + N'$ of A and this procedure will show that $S', N' \in \mathfrak{s}$.

Procedure `JC_D`

input: $S, N \in \mathfrak{s}$, S diagonalizable, N nilpotent (not necessarily $[S, N] = 0$).

output: $S', N' \in \mathfrak{s}$, S' diagonalizable, N' nilpotent, $[S', N'] = 0$, $S + N = S' + N'$.

(1) `Set` $S' := S$, $N' := N$, $\mathcal{N} := \texttt{Decomp}(S', N')$.
(We assume that $\mathcal{N} = [(N_1, \lambda_1), (N_2, \lambda_2), \dots]$.)

(2) `If` $\texttt{Val}(\mathcal{N}) = \{0\}$ `or` $\texttt{Val}(\mathcal{N}) = \emptyset$ `then: return` S', N'`, end.`

(3) `Pick` $i_0 \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_{i_0} \neq 0$,
`set` $\mathcal{N}' :=$ the sequence $\mathcal{N}$ with (N_{i_0}, λ_{i_0}) removed.
`set` $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \texttt{NewEigM}\big(\mathcal{N}',\ -N_{i_0},\ \lambda_{i_0}\big)$.

(4) `Set` $S' := S' + N_{\lambda_0}$, $N' := N' - N_{\lambda_0}$, $\mathcal{N} := \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$, `go to (2).`
(We assume here that (N_i, λ_i) is redefined so that $\mathcal{N} = [(N_1, \lambda_1), (N_2, \lambda_2), \dots]$.)

Remark 2.11. In step (3), we obtain $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = [(\tilde{N}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_1), (\tilde{N}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_2), \dots]$ such that:

(i) $N - N_{i_0} = \sum_{i\geq 1} \tilde{N}_i$, $\tilde{N}_i \in \mathfrak{s}$, $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(S + N_{i_0})(\tilde{N}_i) = \tilde{\lambda}_i \tilde{N}_i$ (see Remark 2.5).
(ii) $S + N_{i_0}$ is semisimple (Lemma 2.1) and $N - N_{i_0}$ is nilpotent ($N, N_{i_0} \in \mathfrak{t}'$).
(iii) $\gamma_{S+N_{i_0}}(N - N_{i_0}) < \gamma_S(N)$ (Lemma 2.9).

Remark 2.12. It follows from Remark 2.11(iii) that this procedure eventually ends in less than or equal to $n(n-1)^2/2$ loops (see Definition 2.8). When this occurs, it follows from Remark 2.11(ii) that $S + N = S' + N'$; S' is semisimple and N' nilpotent in $\mathfrak{t}'$, and $[S', N'] = 0$ (the set of eigenvalues is either empty or $\{0\}$). Thus, $S+N = S'+N'$ is the JCD of the matrix $S+N$, and it follows from Remark 2.11(i) that both output matrices S' and N' belong to $\mathfrak{s}$. This proves Theorem 1.2.

Remark 2.13. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{s} \to \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{F})$ be a representation such that $\pi(X)$ is upper triangular for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. It follows from Remark 2.7 (see also Remark 2.3) that π commutes with `JC_D`, that is

$$\texttt{JC_D}\big(\pi(S),\, \pi(N)\big) = \pi\big(\texttt{JC_D}(S,\, N)\big).$$

We close this section pointing out that our use of the procedure `NewEigM` in step (3) helped us show that `JC_D` eventually ends and commutes with representations. We could, alternatively, avoid using `NewEigM`, by just picking $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ in step (3) and directly defining $\mathcal{N} := \texttt{Decomp}(S', N')$ in step (4).

2.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The procedure described in `JC_D` shows that the statement of Theorem 1.1 is true when $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed, since in this case Lie's Theorem allows us to assume that $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ (and likewise for all representations of $\mathfrak{s}$).

If $\mathbb{F}$ is arbitrary, let $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}$. Let $A, S, N \in \mathfrak{s}$, with $A = S + N$ as in Theorem 1.1 and let $A = S' + N'$ be its JCD in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{F})$. The minimal polynomial, say p, of S' is a product of distinct monic irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic 0, we see that p has distinct roots in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$, whence S' is diagonalizable over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$. It follows that $A = S' + N'$ is the JCD of A in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \bar{\mathbb{F}})$, and hence $S', N' \in \mathrm{span}_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}\, \mathfrak{s}$ as indicated above. Therefore $S', N' \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{F}) \cap \mathrm{span}_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}}\, \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. Jordan-Chevalley decomposition in a Lie algebra

Theorem 3.1. *An element x of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has an abstract JCD if and only if x belongs to the derived algebra $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, in which case the semisimple and nilpotent parts of x also belong to $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.*

Necessity. This is clear since any linear map from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ such that $\dim \pi(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ and $\pi([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0$ is a representation.

Sufficiency. By Ado's theorem we may assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra of matrices. Fix a Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_s \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ and let $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{r}]$. We know that $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent (see [FH, Lemma C.20]). If $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, then $x = a + r$ for unique $a \in \mathfrak{g}_s$ and $r \in \mathfrak{n}$. If $a = a_s + a_n$ is the JCD of the matrix a, since $\mathfrak{g}_s$ is semisimple, it follows that $a_s, a_n \in \mathfrak{g}_s = [\mathfrak{g}_s, \mathfrak{g}_s]$ (see, for instance, [Hu, §6.4]). Let $\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{F}a_s \oplus \mathbb{F}a_n \oplus \mathfrak{n} \subset [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Since $[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}] \subset \mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent, we obtain that $\mathfrak{s}$ is a solvable Lie algebra. We now apply Theorem 1.1 to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ with $S = a_s$, $N = a_n + r$. We obtain that if $x = S' + N'$ is the JCD of x, then $S', N' \in \mathfrak{s} \subset [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.

Finally, let $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $r \in \mathfrak{n}$ it follows that $\pi(r)$ is nilpotent (see [FH, Lemma C.19] or [B1, Ch.1, §5]). Since $\mathfrak{g}_s$ is semisimple,

$\pi(S) = \pi(a_s)$ is semisimple and $\pi(a_n)$ is nilpotent. Since $\mathfrak{s}$ is solvable it follows from Lie's Theorem that $\pi(N) = \pi(a_n + r)$ is nilpotent. It follows from Remark 2.13 that

$$\texttt{JC_D}\big(\pi(S),\, \pi(N)\big) = \pi\big(\texttt{JC_D}(S,\, N)\big).$$

(here JC_D is applied over a field extension of $\mathbb{F}$ containing the eigenvalues of S and we make use of Lie's Theorem.) This shows that $\pi(x) = \pi(S') + \pi(N')$ is the JCD of $\pi(x)$. □

References

[B1] Bourbaki, N., *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras: chapters 1-3*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[B2] Bourbaki, N., *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras: chapters 7-9*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[CS] L. Cagliero and F. Szechtman, *Jordan-Chevalley decomposition in finite dimensional Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011) 3909-3913.

[FH] Fulton, W. and Harris, J., *Representation theory: A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[Hu] Humphreys, J. E., *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.

CIEM-CONICET, FAMAF-Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina.
E-mail address: cagliero@famaf.unc.edu.ar

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Univeristy of Regina, Canada
E-mail address: fernando.szechtman@gmail.com